

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Resolution ALJ 176-3116  
Administrative Law Judge Division  
July 10, 2003

**R E S O L U T I O N**

RESOLUTION ALJ 176-3116. Ratification of preliminary determinations of category for proceedings initiated by application. The preliminary determinations are pursuant to Article 2.5, Rules 4, and 6.1 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. (See also Rule 63.2(c) regarding notice of assignment.)

---

The Commission's rules and procedures which implement the requirements of Senate Bill (SB) 960 (Leonard, ch. 96-0856) are, for the most part, found in Article 2.5 of our Rules of Practice and Procedure. The rules and procedures were adopted by the Commission in D.97-11-021, which describes more fully the background to the development of these rules. Rule 4 describes the formal proceedings to which the SB 960 rules (Article 2.5) apply. Rule 6.1 requires the Commission to preliminarily determine a proceeding's category, whether the proceeding requires a hearing, and designate an Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge. Rule 6.1(a) states that the preliminary determination of category is not appealable but shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's ruling. Unless and until a preliminary determination is changed by such ruling, the preliminary determination of category governs the applicability of the other reforms that SB 960 requires. Rule 63.2 provides for petitioning the Commission to reassign a proceeding to another administrative law judge. Rule 63.2(c) establishes the time for filing such a petition. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

**The Categories**

SB 960 makes sweeping changes in many aspects of the Commission's practices in an effort to improve the quality and timeliness of Commission decision making. It creates three categories of proceedings: adjudicatory, ratesetting, and quasi-legislative. The

applicability of many of the changes it requires depends upon the category assigned to the proceeding. For example, the ex parte rules which apply differ if the proceeding is categorized as adjudicatory rather than quasi-legislative. The Legislature defined each of these procedural categories in Section 7 of SB 960. Consistent with these definitions, the rules provide that:

“‘Adjudicatory’ proceedings are: (1) enforcement investigations into possible violations of any provision of statutory law or order or rule of the Commission; and (2) complaints against regulated entities, including those complaints that challenge the accuracy of a bill, but excluding those complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future.

“‘Ratesetting’ proceedings are proceedings in which the Commission sets or investigates rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities), or establishes a mechanism that in turn sets the rates for a specifically named utility (or utilities). ‘Ratesetting’ proceedings include complaints that challenge the reasonableness of rates or charges, past, present, or future. For purposes of this Article, other proceedings may be categorized as ratesetting as described in Rule 6.1(c).

“‘Quasi-legislative’ proceedings are proceedings that establish policy or rules (including generic ratemaking policy or rules) affecting a class of regulated entities, including those proceedings in which the Commission investigates rates or practices for an entire regulated industry or class of entities within the industry.” (Rules 5(b), 5(c), and 5(d).)

### **Mixed or Unclear Category Proceedings**

For a proceeding that may fall into more than one category, the rules allow parties to recommend that the Commission pick the most suitable category, or to recommend dividing the subject matter of the proceeding into different phases or one or more new proceedings, each with its own category. The rules provide that a proceeding that does not clearly fit into *any* of SB 960’s defined categories will be conducted under the rules applicable to the ratesetting category. As such a proceeding matures, the Commission may determine that the rules applicable to one of the other categories, or some hybrid of those rules, would be better suited to the proceeding.

As stated in D.97-06-071, ratesetting proceedings typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding relating to a particular public utility. Because proceedings that do not clearly fall within the adjudicatory or quasi-legislative categories likewise typically involve a mix of policymaking and factfinding, the ratesetting procedures are, in general, preferable for those proceedings.



**Next Steps**

As stated above, this preliminary determination of category is not appealable. Once interested parties have had an opportunity to respond to the initiating party's proposed category, the preliminary determination shall be confirmed or changed by Assigned Commissioner's Ruling pursuant to Rule 6(a)(3). This Assigned Commissioner Ruling may be appealed to the full Commission pursuant to Rule 6.4(a). Parties have 10 days after the ruling is mailed to appeal. Responses to the appeal are allowed under Rule 6.4(b), and must be filed and served not later than 15 days after the ruling is mailed. The full Commission will consider the appeal.

Any party, or person or entity declaring an intention to become a party is entitled to petition for reassignment of the proceeding to another Administrative Law Judge, as described in Rule 63.2. Such a petition must be filed no later than 10 days after notice of the assignment. For purposes of Rule 63.2(c), notice of the assignment is the day the assignments associated with this preliminary categorization document appear in the Daily Calendar following the Commission business meeting.

**Conclusion**

The Commission has reviewed the initial pleading of the utility applicants listed in the attached schedule and has made a preliminary determination of category and need for hearing, consistent with the requirements and definitions of Article 2.5 of its rules.

**IT IS ORDERED** that each proceeding listed in the attached schedule is preliminarily categorized, and the need for a hearing is noted.

I certify that the foregoing resolution was duly introduced, passed, and adopted at a conference of the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California held on \_\_\_\_\_, the following Commissioners voting favorably thereon:

---

WILLIAM AHERN  
Executive Director

## PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3116 (7/10/03)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
<b>A03-06-018</b> AIRPORT CONNECTION, INC., dba ROADRUNNER SHUTTLE, for authority to establish a zone of rate freedom for operations as a passenger stage corporation.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-06-019</b> SKY TELECOM, INC., for Registration as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-06-021</b> SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY, regarding Year Nine (2002-2003) of its Gas Cost Incentive Mechanism.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	YES
<b>A03-06-022</b> LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY, for an order authorizing the construction of a two-track at-grade crossing for the Eastside Corridor Light Rail Transit Line across Lorena Street in the City of Los Angeles.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-06-023</b> G2 SOLUTIONS, LLC, for registration as an interexchange carrier telephone corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-06-026</b> FIRST COMMUNICATIONS, LLC, for Registration as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-06-027</b> PLANCHON, ANDRE, dba MAIN EVENT LIMOUSINES, for approval of the transfer of the operating authority held by Andre Planchon, dba Main Event Limousines in Passenger Stage Corporation Certificate 10565 to Main Event Transportation, Inc., pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 851 et seq.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-06-028</b> COLORADO COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK, INC., dba HOSPITALITY COMMUNICATIONS, for Registration as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO

## PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3116 (7/10/03)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
<b>A03-06-031</b> PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, (U 1001-C), for authority pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 851 to Lease space to Pacific Telesis Group and SBC Services, Inc.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-06-032</b> AMRAT, INC., dba PRIME TIME AIRPORT SHUTTLE, RIDESHARE PORT MANAGEMENT, L.L.C., dba PRIME TIME AIRPORT SHUTTLE, to revise a zone of rate freedom pursuant to Section 454.2 of the California Public Utilities Code to provide for adjustment of its airport passenger fares between authorized service areas in Orange, Riverside and San Bernardino counties on the one hand and John Wayne Airport and Los Angeles International Airport and other authorized terminals on the other hand.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-06-035</b> AMERICAN LONG LINES, INC., for Registration as an Interexchange Carrier Telephone Corporation pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Section 1013.	NDIEC Registration Application	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-06-036</b> FAYAH, OKAB S., dba ACROPOLIS AIRPORT SHUTTLE, for authority to operate as a passenger stage corporation between points in the counties of San Francisco, Alameda, Contra Costa, Santa Clara, San Mateo and Solano, and the San Francisco, Oakland and San Jose International Airports; and to establish a Zone of Rate Freedom.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-06-037</b> BRENDA MASON, dba A CAR 4 KIDS, for authority to operate as a scheduled and on-call passenger stage corporation between points within the Counties of Contra Costa, Alameda and San Francisco; and to establish a Zone of Rate freedom.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-06-039</b> BEAUCHANE, RUSSELL D., dba AIRPORT TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, HOLLAND, MICHAEL B., dba NORTH VALLEY SHUTTLE, UPTON, HELEN M., dba NORTH VALLEY SHUTTLE, for approval of the transfer by sale of the operating authority held by Seller in passenger stage corporation certificate PSC 1036B from Seller to Buyer, pursuant to the provisions of Public Utilities Code Sections 851 et seq.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO

## PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION SCHEDULE

Resolution ALJ 176-3116 (7/10/03)

NUMBER TITLE	PROPOSED CATEGORY	PRELIM. CATEGORY	HEARING
<b>A03-06-040</b> SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY, (U 904-G), in compliance with Resolution G-3334 for a System of Firm, Tradable Receipt Point Capacity Rights and related provisions.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	YES
<b>A03-07-002</b> SIERRA PACIFIC POWER COMPANY, for an order approving its 2004 Low Income Energy Efficiency Plan and Budget.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-07-003</b> SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER COMPANY, regarding Low Income Assistance Programs for its Bear Valley electric service customers for Program Year 2004.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-07-004</b> CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO THROUGH ITS SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL RAILWAY, for an order authorizing construction of rail-to-rail at-grade crossings on Third Street at Arthur Avenue (CPUC No. 2SF-2.05-C) and at Carroll Avenue (CPUC No.001E-4.02- C) to provide signal control for light rail trains, freight trains and vehicular traffic for the Third Street Light Rail Project in the City and County of San Francisco.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO
<b>A03-07-005</b> NASROLLAH MAGHADDAM, dba A PROMPT SHUTTLE, for authority to operate as a passenger stage between points within the Orange County cities, on one hand and John Wayne Airport, on the other hand.	Ratesetting	Ratesetting	NO